1 Part 2: Environmental Panel Organisms

2.1 Soil Testing

4 5 Airborne soil particles may constitute a significant challenge to aerosol collection polymerase chain 6 reaction (PCR) assays. Soils contain genomic materials or nucleic acid fragments of countless 7 archaebacterial, bacterial, and eukaryotic organisms. Some of the more common soil organisms can be 8 anticipated. Soils may also contain unanticipated inhibitors that interfere with extraction, denaturation, 9 polymerization, or annealing reactions. Therefore, an investigative challenge of a PCR assay to variety of 10 representative soils is an important first step to establish the specificity of the primers/probes, and the 11 robustness of PCR assay against potential interfering compounds. 12 13 14 Using the primers/probe, and amplicon sequences specific for any given assay evaluate each regional 15 soil type*† for any signs of positive response. 16 17 Samples of each regional soil type* should be spiked at 2x, 5x and 10x AMDL with the archetype 18 organism (usually specified in the SMPR for AMDL testing, such as strain CO92 for Yersinia pestis) and 19 then the samples evaluated for inhibition. Inhibition testing should be done with intact target 20 organisms so that potential interference of the DNA extraction can be determined. 21 22 23 * Arizona Test Dust is available as a baseline starting point. 24 See section 2.2 "Bioinformatics Analysis of Signature Sequences" on probing all available data bases

25 including that contains soil metagenome sequences generated from specific regions of operations (if

- 26 available) for In Silico Analysis and further validation of the signature sequences.
- 27

2 3

282929Bioinformatics Analyses of Signature Sequences

30 31 32	<i>In silico</i> screening will be performed on signature sequences (eg: oligo primers/probes and amplicon) to demonstrate specificity to the target biological threat agent.
32 33 34 35 36 37	<i>In silico</i> results are suggestive of potential performance issues, so will guide necessary additions to the wet screening panels. <i>In silico</i> identification of potential cross-reactions (false positives) or non-verifications (false negatives) would require the affected strains be included in the exclusivity or inclusivity panels, respectively, if available.
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	A method developer-selected tool to carry out the bioinformatics evaluation should be able to predict hybridization events between signature components and a sequence in a database including available genomic sequence data, databases and/or published documents describing the genetic sequences found in soils that are representative of the regions of operation. The selected tool should be able to identify predicted hybridization events based on platform annealing temperatures, thus ensuring an accurate degree of allowed mismatch is incorporated in predictions. The program should detect possible amplicons from any selected database of sequence.
45 46	Potential tools for in silico screening of real-time PCR signatures include:
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	 <u>http://sourceforge.net/projects/simulatepcr/files/?source=navbar</u> This program will find all possible amplicons and real time fluorescing events from any selected database of sequence. <u>NCBI tools</u> The method developer submission should include: Description of sequence databases used in the <i>in silico</i> analysis Description of conditions used for <i>in silico</i> analysis Stringency of <i>in silico</i> analysis must match bench hybridization conditions Description of tool used for bioinformatics evaluation Data demonstrating the selected tool successfully predicts specificity that has been confirmed by wet-lab testing on designated isolates
62 63	 List of additional strains to be added to the inclusivity (Annex II) or exclusivity (Annex III) panels based on the bioinformatics evaluation
64	
65 66 67 68 69 70 71	

72

73 2.3 Environmental Organisms74

Inclusion of all environmental panel organisms is not a requirement if a method developer provides appropriate justification that the intended use of the assay permits the exclusion of specific panel organisms. Justification for exclusion of any environmental panel organism(s) must be documented and submitted.

- 80 If bioinformatic analysis is completed then DNA from Group 1 organisms should be tested. If
 81 bioinformatic analysis is not completed then DNA from Group 1 and 2 organisms should be tested
- 82

79

Organisms and cell lines may be tested as isolated DNA, or as pools of isolated DNA. Isolated DNA may be combined into pools of up to 10 panel organisms, with each panel organism represented at 10 times the AMDL, where possible. The combined DNA pools are tested in the presence (at 2 times the AMDL) and absence of the target gene or gene fragment. If an unexpected result occurs, each of the individual environmental organisms from a failed pool must be individually re-tested at 10 times the AMDL with and without the target gene or gene fragment at 2x the AMDL in the candidate method DNA elution buffer.

90

91 DNA in this list that already appear in the inclusivity or exclusivity panel do not need to be tested again

- 92 as part of the environmental factors panel.
- 93
- 94

95 **Group 1**

- 96 Aedes aegypti (ATCC /CCL-125(tm) mosquito cell line)
- 97 Aedes albopictus (Mosquito C6/36 cell line)
- 98 *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (Dust mite -commercial source)
- 99 *Xenopsylla cheopis* Flea (Rocky Mountain labs)
- 100 Drosophilia cell line
- 101 Musca domestica (housefly) ARS, USDA, Fargo, ND
- 102 Gypsy moth cell lines LED652Y cell line (baculovirus)– Invitrogen
- 103 Cockroach (commercial source)
- 104 Tick (Amblyomma and *Dermacentor* tick species for *F. tularensis* detection assays)¹
- 105 Mus musculus (ATCC/HB-123) mouse
- 106 Rattus norvegicus (ATCC/CRL-1896) rat
- 107 *Homo sapiens* (HeLa cell line ATCC/CCL-2) human
- 108
- 109
- 110

- 111 Group 2
- 112
- 113 Cultivatable bacteria identified as being present in air soil or water
- 114 Acinetobacter lwoffii
- 115 Agrobacterium tumefaciens
- 116 Bacillus amyloliquefaciens
- 117 Bacillus cohnii
- 118 Bacillus psychrosaccharolyticus
- 119 Bacillus benzoevorans
- 120 Bacillus megaterium
- 121 Bacillus horikoshii
- 122 Bacillus macroides
- 123 Bacteroides fragilis
- 124 Burkholderia cepacia
- 125 Burkholderia gladoli
- 126 Burkholderia stabilis
- 127 Burkholderia plantarii
- 128 Chryseobacterium indologenes
- 129 Clostridium sardiniense
- 130 Clostridium perfringens
- 131 Deinococcus radiodurans
- 132 Delftia acidovorans
- 133 Escherichia coli K12
- 134 Fusobacterium nucleatum
- 135 Lactobacillus plantarum
- 136 Legionella pneumophilas
- 137 Listeria monocytogenes
- 138 Moraxella nonliquefaciens
- 139 Mycobacterium smegmatis
- 140 Neisseria lactamica
- 141 Pseudomonas aeruginosa
- 142 Rhodobacter sphaeroides
- 143 *Riemerella anatipestifer*
- 144 Shewanella oneidensis
- 145 Staphylococcus aureus
- 146 Stenotophomonas maltophilia
- 147 Streptococcus pneumoniae
- 148 Streptomyces coelicolor
- 149 Synechocystis
- 150 Vibrio cholerae
- 151
- 152