

Foundation Repair & Waterproofing

Settlement Investigation

Comm. Mgmt. Assoc. The Aberdeen 2700 Paces Ferry Road SE Atlanta, GA 30339





2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067 ofc. 678.290.1325 fax 770.956.7403 www.esogrepair.com

November 30, 2016

Community Management Associates 2700 Paces Ferry Road Atlanta, GA 30339

Subject: The Aberdeen at Paces Ferry 2700 Paces Ferry Road Atlanta, GA 30339

Pavement, Sidewalk, Site-Wall, Parking Garage/Electrical Room and Storm Drainage Settlement Investigation

Ms. Smith,

Please accept this letter as a brief overview and description of the investigation processes and findings of the Subsurface Conditions which are impacting the at/near grade structures at the above referenced project.

This investigation was performed by providing a thorough video inspection of the Storm and Sanitary Drainage at the site along with a Geotechnical Investigation of the Subgrade Soils in the areas of concern.

On the dates of 11/4/16 and 11/11/16, I along with representatives of Chattahoochee Consulting Group, Continental Pipe and ESOG Crew were on site performing testing and evaluations of the existing Storm Water Site Drainage and Subsurface Soil Conditions.

With the exception of 1 area the Storm Water System appeared to be in pretty good condition. The area of question is a "Belly" or "Low Spot" with a separated joint between 2 pipe sections located between the drop inlet (Storm 4 in the repot) near the overhead door just outside of the parking



2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067 ofc. 678.290.1325 fax 770.956.7403 www.esogrepair.com



garage and the underground Storm Water Detention Vault. All of the video inspection data is included later in this report.

As you will see in the Geotechnical Report from Chattahoochee Consulting Group. On 11/4/16 the efforts to use "Hand Augers" to collect samples were not completely successful due to large and numerous rock fragments in the areas being tested. So, we returned on 11/11/16 with Drilling Equipment capable of advancing drill augers to the required depths. The preliminary findings if this investigation indicate that some of the settlement conditions are the result of as much as 25' of marginally placed fill soil. This fill soil is consolidating into small voids within itself and causing surface structures (walls, pavements, etc.) constructed at the surface to settle.

Based on our findings, it appears that the settlement conditions of the surface structures can be stabilized against future settlements using a combination of Foundation Underpinning and Pressure (Injection) Grouting for Soil Stabilization. We recommend Underpinning the Heavier Loaded Structures with Foundation Piers (Piles) and Stabilizing the fill soil within the whole affected area with Injection Grouting. I am attaching a Sectional Detail of both of these services along with some photographs of the resulting signs of significant settlement of the surface structures.

Foundation Underpinning is a process which extends the load of a structure deeper into the subgrade to Bedrock or a Dense Soil Strata capable of supporting the load requirements of said structure(s). This is performed by exposing the foundation of a structure, preparing it, mounting large steel brackets to the foundation and driving steel piers into the ground until they reach material that can support the loads above. The Pier is then mechanically attached to the foundation bracket and the structure becomes secure and stable.



A Lifetime of Support

2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067 ofc. 678.290.1325 fax 770.956.7403 www.esogrepair.com

Pressure (Injection) Grouting for Soil Stabilization is a process where Grout Injection locations are strategically laid out at the surface throughout the affected settlement area. Usually in a grid type pattern with spacing of 5'-10' apart. At each Injection location a hole will be drilled through any surface pavement and a Grout Injection Rod (Probe) is advanced (Drilled or Driven) into the subgrade soils to a depth where firm, bearable soils are encountered. As the injection rods are extracted in 1'-2' increments, grout is injected into the subgrade soils under *controlled pressures and volumes* to fill any voids and compact the soils. The actual grout design and make up are usually site specific and are determined by soil conditions for that project. Initially, I am thinking a Cement Based "Low Density Cellular Grout" may work best for your application. These processes prevent future consolidation and settlements of poorly/marginally placed fill zones.

I have been performing these services in this geographic region with great success since 1987. These remedial measures are tried, true and warrantable. As an order of magnitude for your project. Included as a part of this report are some "Budget Proposals". These proposals are pending "Final Design" and are specific for 3 different work areas.

- 1. "**Parking Garage Corner**" This area includes the Electrical Room, Parking Garage Entrance, Transformer Pads, and Lower Retaining Wall.
- 2. "Loading Dock Pavement and Adjacent Stairs/Site-Wall at side Entry"
- 3. "Middle Retaining Wall at Parking Garage Entrance"

We will also be happy to provide you with a specific repair design which you could let out for bid by specialty foundation contractors like ESOG if requested.



Pictures













Pictures















2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067 ofc. 678.290.1325 fax 770.956.7403 www.esogrepair.com

Please, do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions or need any additional information.

Thank you.

Chuck Irby V.P. of Geotechnical Services Engineered Solutions of Georgia 2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta Georgia 30067 O) 678-290-1325 F) 770-956-7403 E) <u>chuck.irby@esogrepair.com</u>

•

Consulting Geotechnical Engineers Environmental Science Construction Management & Inspection Construction Materials Testing Hydrogeology/Groundwater Monitoring Earth Instrumentation Services



5871 New Peachtree Road Doraville, GA 30340-1084 Phone: 770/457-9776 Fax: 770/457-9964

Chattahoochee Consulting Group, Inc.

November 28, 2016 Project Number: 4754.002.16

Engineered Solutions of Georgia 2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067

Attention: Mr. Chuck Irby

RE: Report of Geotechnical Exploration The Aberdeen on Paces Ferry 2700 Paces Ferry Road Vinings, Georgia

Gentlemen:

Chattahoochee Consulting Group, Inc. (CCG) is pleased to present this proposal for geotechnical engineering services.

PROJECT BACKGROUND

We understand that the high-rise condominium structure was completed in 2009 and is primarily supported on pile foundations based on a review of the structural drawings for the building. Based on our visual observations, apparent settlement is evident primarily along the front, northeast portion of the building. Outward deflection of the free-standing retaining wall structures within this area, as well as distress of the pavements between the loading dock and lower parking garage was noted, including the areas around the two drop inlet structures. Additionally, significant distress was noted at the entrance of the lower parking garage and the adjacent electrical room enclosed by masonry walls. Our review of the architectural plans prepared by Stanley D. Lindsey, Ltd., indicate that the front (north) and the front portion of the east side wall of the electrical room are supported by a foundation wall which is not pile supported, (see Figure 3). Additionally, a below grade storm water detention vault, 16 feet deep, is present below the parking deck near this area. It appears that the settlement observed is due to consolidation of the backfill soils in this area. Review of the report from a ground penetrating radar (GPR) investigation, performed by GPRS, Inc. dated May 7, 2016, reported several areas

where underlying voids are suspected beneath the pavements and electrical room slab. A video evaluation of the storm pipes in this area was also performed under the direction of Engineered Solutions of Georgia on November 4, 2016. We understand that this evaluation determined that there is a separation of the storm pipe that drains into the underground detention vault beneath the pavements in parking deck P1, which allows subsurface water flows into the backfill soils in this area. The original Grading & Drainage Plan dated April 28, 2009 and prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. was also reviewed to determine the depth of new fill materials proposed beneath the northeast portion of the building. This review indicates that approximately 12 vertical feet of new fill was placed beneath the east side of the building, where the ground surface is approximately 7 feet below the lower parking deck. Significantly deeper new fill depths are present below the parking deck level, where up to 19 vertical feet of new fill is shown. Up to 28 feet of fill is indicated in the vicinity of the front loading dock area and an estimated 16 feet of new fill is shown in the area of the eastern stormwater drop inlet within the driveway to parking level P1.

FIELD EXPLORATION

A total of eight (8) boring locations were explored within or adjacent to the areas of observed distress. Based on our initial site visit, significant below grade utilities are present in the areas of the proposed exploration and a private utility contractor, RHD Services, Inc. was contracted to identify the location of underground utilities prior to selecting the specific boring locations. The initial borings were drilled on November 4, 2016. The borings were performed using hand auger techniques, which were proposed to be terminated at depths of 7 to 15 feet below the existing grades. Four of the borings were performed through 4 inch diameter cores through the existing pavements and the floor slab in the Main Electrical Room at the northeast corner of parking deck P1. These specific boring locations included the Main Electrical Room at the northeast corner of parking deck P1, The west side of the parking Deck P1 entrance where cracking is evident, near the eastern stormwater drop inlet within the entrance drive to parking deck P1 and the sidewalk area near the west side of the loading dock driveway. The remaining boring locations included the east side of the building at the north corner, near the base of the adjacent retaining wall north of this corner, the landscape area adjacent to the entrance to parking deck P1 and near the base of the exterior steps east of the loading dock driveway. The approximate boring locations are shown on the Boring Location Plans, Figures 1 & 2, attached with this report. The borings were located by our field engineer, who supervised the drilling operations and maintained logs of boring. The boring logs will indicate the depth, classification, relative density or consistency of the soils encountered, depth to rock or groundwater and any unusual subsurface conditions noted.

Due to significant rock fragments within the existing fill soil encountered, each of the hand auger borings encountered shallow refusal prior to penetrating the existing fill embankments. Numerous boring offsets were performed for the borings not located in the concrete areas that were cored. The hand auger boring depths ranged from 1 to 5 feet below existing grades. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Tests were performed in each boring where obvious rock fragments were not encountered, to determine the relative densities of the soils encountered. It should be noted that the only boring which encountered a void beneath the existing pavements was located in the main electrical room, where a 4 inch thick void was present. The concrete thickness at the boring locations ranged from 4.8 inches in boring B-3 in the electrical room slab, to approximately 6 inches in boring B-7 in the sidewalk adjacent to the loading dock.

The site was revisited on November 11, 2016 with representatives of Engineered Solutions of Georgia, to drill the accessible borings with a mini-excavator mounted drill rig using 3.5 inch diameter, continuous flighted augers. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Tests (DCP) were performed in each boring where obvious rock fragments were not encountered, to determine the relative densities of the soils encountered. Borings B-1 and B-2 were drilled to depths of 29 feet. Based on visual evaluation of the soils encountered the estimated depth of fill in these borings is 25 feet below existing grades. Boring B-3 encountered refusal on large rocks at a depth of approximately 3 feet at two locations and was not offset further due to the close proximity of the underground power lines. Boring B-5, located within the driveway to parking deck P1 encountered refusal on rock fragments at a depth of 6 feet. Boring B-9, located adjacent to the exterior stairs east of the loading dock, was drilled to a depth of 19 feet and was terminated within apparent fill soils.

The borings indicate that the existing fill soils on the northeast portion of the building area predominately consist of rock ladened Silts with some sand. Due to the frequent rock fragments, the many of the DCP tests performed in the borings are considered elevated and not representative of the overall fill consistencies. Where the DCP values are considered representative, soft to stiff relative densities were recorded. Additionally, the drilling operations from the mechanical drill rig indicate soft fill layers between zones of the rock laden fill.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The borings indicate that the observed settlement is due to the consolidation of the relatively soft backfill soils that exist at the northeast portion of the building and driveway areas. Based on the limited boring data and review of the pre-development site grades, fill depths of up to 32 feet are present in this area. Our review of the structural design drawings indicate that the majority of the structure is supported on driven pile foundations, which appear to be adequately supporting the building. An exception is main electrical room at the northeast corner of parking level P1, where the front wall and a portion of the east wall are supported on a foundation wall bearing in the existing fill soils, (see Figure 3). Additionally, the retaining wall structure adjacent to this area and adjacent to the entrance drive to parking deck P1 are supported within these fill soils, as well as the exterior stairs to the MDF Room and Stairway No. 2. These structures which bear on shallow foundation have experienced settlement, as well as the pavements, stormwater structures and sidewalks in this area.

The report of the ground penetrating radar (GPR) investigation, performed by GPRS, Inc. indicated that possible voids are present beneath the pavements in the vicinity of the eastern stormwater drop inlet, adjacent to the trench drain and entrance to parking deck P1 and in the main electrical room. The only void encountered at the boring locations was within the main electrical room, where a 4 inch thick void was encountered. It should be noted that additional void areas may exist beneath the pavements and floor slabs away from the specific core and boring locations.

We recommend that the foundation wall supporting the front wall and a portion of the east wall of the main electrical room be stabilized by helical piers along the exterior edge (toe) of the foundation and compaction grouting the rear, (heel) backfilled portion of the foundation. Helical piers are a steel foundation system consisting of a central shaft with one or more helix-shaped bearing plates which are installed adjacent to the existing shallow foundation and attached via a foundation bracket to support the foundation loads. The piers are advanced below grade, through the soft or unsuitable fill materials to bear within the underlying stable soils. The torque required to advance the pier is recorded during installation to determine the capacity of the pier. Compaction grouting injects a cement grout below grade under high pressure to densify the soils and create a grout column to stabilize the underlying soils. In this application, a boring will be advanced through the backfill soils and cored through the heel of the existing foundation. Casing will subsequently be driven through the soft fill soils below the foundation to the underlying stiff soils. Cement grout will subsequently be injected through the casing at high pressure to densify the soils as the casing is withdrawn, typically at 1 foot intervals, to the base of the foundation. This method of foundation stabilization is also recommended for both free-standing retaining walls adjacent to the northeast portion of the building. The exterior stairs and landing to the MDF Room and Stairway No. 2 may be stabilized with helical piers attached to the existing shallow foundations.

The storm pipe draining to the underground detention vault that has separated should be repaired to prevent water infiltration into the backfill soils. This may be performed conventionally, by excavation and repairing the separated pipe, or may be repaired by installation of a pipe liner, provided that the required flow capacity of the pipe allows a reduction of the pipe section. We recommend that a contractor specializing in this service be consulted to determine the feasibility of this procedure and that the project civil engineer, Kimley-Horn and Associates be consulted to ensure that lining the pipe will not detrimentally affect the site drainage of the development.

The main electrical room, entrance to parking deck P1 and the driveway, sidewalk and storm structures in this area may be stabilized using pressure grouting. Similar to compaction grouting, pressure grouting injects a cement grout into the soft subsurface soils to densify and fill potential voids, but with smaller diameter holes and lower pressure. Pressure grouting is recommended beneath the floor of the main electrical room, where a significant void was found and beneath the entrance to parking deck P1, where significant cracking and vertical displacement of the pavements are evident. Due to the relatively extensive area comprising the exterior driveways and sidewalks that bear within the fill soils in this area, it may be desired to monitor the settlement and distress in these areas which do not support structures. If the monitoring program indicates that additional settlement and sidewalks with reinforced pavement sections to help limit future distress. This alternative would assume risk that some future additional settlement and cracking of the pavements supported on these fill soils could occur.

It should be noted that due to the presence of frequent rock fragments in the fill soils, installation of helical piers, compaction grouting and pressure grouting will be hindered and will likely create refusals prior to penetrating the fill. This will require offset locations and will slow the stabilization process. The presence of below grade utilities will also restrict the location of helical piers and grout injection points, particularly in the main electrical room and adjacent landscape area where the power transformers are present.

We have enjoyed working with you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

CHATTAHOOCHEE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

David W. Maxey, P.E. Senior Engineer









APPENDIX A

BORING LOGS



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Geor Vinings, Georgia	gia		Project No.: 4754.002.16 Boring No.: B-1 Date: 11/11/2016
Elevation	Description	Depth	Samples	Drilling Observations
⁷⁶ 1016 ft. MSI		(feet)	NO. TYPE DCP	Hand Auger Boring
_	FILL: Rock-laden SILT, brown, black, some sand,			No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling.
-	topsoil, dry to moist, non-plastic		1 DCP 13-13*	* DCP on rock fragments
- - - - - - -		5.0 		
- - - - - - - -		<u> </u>	2 DCP 15+*	Note: Topsoil observed in drill cuttings from approximately 10 to 15 feet.
- - - - -	FILL: Sandy SILT, stiff, tan, brown, trace mica, dry, non-plastic	<u>15.0</u> 	3 DCP 15+*	
		 	4 DCP 7-11	
-			5 DCP 6-10	LEGEND SPT= Standard Penetration Test
	Sandy SILT, red, brown, trace mica, moist, low plastic	25.0		SS = Split-Spoon (sample) DCP = Dynamic Cone Penetromete GS= Ground Surface TS = Top of Slab HWR= Highly Weathered Rock
-	Boring Terminated @ 29'			PWR= Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name:	The Aberdeen			Project No.:	4754.002.16
Client:	Engineered Solutions of Georg	jia		Boring No.:	B-2
Lucation.	Villings, Georgia	-		Dale.	11/11/2016
Elevation	Description	Depth	Samples		Drilling Observations
~1018 ft. MSI		(feet)	NO. Type DCP		Hand Auger Boring
_		_			
_	FILL Bock-laden SILT			N	o groundwater encountered
	brown, some sand, drv to	_		a	the time of drilling.
	moist, non-plastic			*	DCP on rock fragments
		_			
-			1 DCP 10-13*		
		5.0			
_					
—					
_		_			
_					
—		_			
			2 DCP 9-10		
		10.0			
-		_			
—					
— ŀ		15.0	3 DCP 15+*		
	FILL: Sandy SILT, stiff, tan,	15.0	+		
_	brown, some rock fragments,				
_	trace mica, dry, non-plastic	_			
- 1					
_			4 DCF 13+		
		_	1		
-	trace organic debris and	\vdash			
	voids present 22' to 26'	F			
_		\vdash			
-				SPT= S	Standard Penetration Test
		25.0	ļ	SS = S	plit-Spoon (sample)
— I	Sandy SILT rad brown	\vdash		DCP =	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer
- 1	trace mica, moist, low			TS = Tc	op of Slab
	plastic				
_		\vdash		HWR=	Highly Weathered Rock
	Boring Terminated @ 29'	1		PWR=	Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Georg Vinings, Georgia	ia	Project No. Boring No.: Date:	: 4754.002.16 B-3 11/11/2016	
Elevation	Description	Depth	Samples		Drilling Observations
~1025 ft. MSL	Concrete: 4.8"	(feet)	No. Type DCP		Hand Auger Boring
	GRAVEL	- 			No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling.
	Boring Terminated @ 2'				Note: 4-inch void present immediately underlying the concrete slab-on-grade.
		<u> </u>			Boring terminated at 2 feet in gravel.
		 <u>10.0</u>			
<u> </u>					
		Ē			
					LEGEND
				SPT SS DCF GS=	= Standard Penetration Test = Split-Spoon (sample) P = Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Ground Surface
		E		TS = HWI PWF	- Top of Slab R= Highly Weathered Rock R= Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Georgi Vinings, Georgia	Project No. Boring No.: Date:	: 4754.002.16 B-4 11/11/2016			
Elevation	Description	Depth	5	amples		Drilling Observations
~1024 ft. MSL	Concrete: 5.5"	(feet)	No. Type	DCP		Hand Auger Boring
	GRAVEL					No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling.
	Boring Terminated @ 2.5'					gravel.
-						
 		<u> </u>				
		20.0				
					SPT	LEGEND = Standard Penetration Test
 					SS DCF GS= TS -	= Split-Spoon (sample) P = Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Ground Surface = Top of Slab
					HWI	R= Highly Weathered Rock R= Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Georgi Vinings, Georgia	a		Projec Boring Date:	t No.: 4754.002.16 No.: B-5 11/11/2016
Elevation	Description	Depth	Sample	es	Drilling Observations
*1027 ft. MSL	Concrete 5.5	(feet)	No. Type DC	-	Hand Auger Boring
	FILL: SILT, stiff, brown, some sand, trace rock fragments, roots, dry, non-plastic		1 DCP 11-8		No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling. Boring refused on large rock fragments in the fill at 6 feet below the existing grades.
	Rock-laden FILL	<u> </u>	2 DCP 5-6		
	Auger Refusal @ 6'				LEGEND SPT= Standard Penetration Test SS = Split-Spoon (sample) DCP = Dynamic Cone Penetrometer GS= Ground Surface TS = Top of Slab HWR= Highly Weathered Rock
_					PWR= Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Georgi Vinings, Georgia	a			Project No Boring No. Date:	.: 4754.002.16 : B-6 11/11/2016
Elevation	Description	Depth	S	amples		Drilling Observations
~1023ft. MSL	Topsoil: 0"	(feet)	No. Type	DCP		Hand Auger Boring
-	FILL: SILT, brown, orange, some sand, dry, non-plastic	-				No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling.
_	ROCK FRAGMENTS	-				fragments in the fill at 3 feet below the existing grades.
_	Auger Refusal @ 3'	_				
		<u> </u>				
		E				
_		 				
		10.0	-			
_						
=		 				
_		E				
		15.0				
=		 _				
_		E				
_		-				
_		20.0	-			
_		E				
=		 -				
_					SPT	LEGEND = Standard Penetration Test
_			1		SS DCF GS=	= Split-Spoon (sample) P = Dynamic Cone Penetrometer = Ground Surface
		E			TS :	= Top of Slab
		_			HW PW	R= Highly Weathered Rock R= Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Georgi Vinings, Georgia	a		Project No.: Boring No.: Date:	4754.002.16 B-7 11/11/2016
Elevation	Description	Depth	Samples		Drilling Observations
~1034 ft. MSL	Concrete: 6"	(feet)	No. Type DCP		Hand Auger Boring
	FILL: SILT, soft, brown, wet, non-plastic		1 DCP 3-3		No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling. Boring refused on wall footing at a depth of 3.5 feet below the ovicting gradee
		+			existing grades.
	Auger Refusal @ 3.5'				
		 		SPT: SS : DCP GS= TS = HWF	LEGEND = Standard Penetration Test = Split-Spoon (sample) = Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Ground Surface : Top of Slab R= Highly Weathered Rock
_		_		PWF	R = Partially Weathered Rock



Project Name: Client: Location:	The Aberdeen Engineered Solutions of Georgia Vinings, Georgia	a	Project No.: 4754.002.16 Boring No.: B-8 Date: 11/11/2016					
Elevation	Description	Depth	Samples		Drilling Observations			
~1034.5 ft. MS	L Topsoil: 5"	(feet)	No. Type DCP		Hand Auger Boring			
	FILL: SILT, soft to firm, tan, brown, some sand, trace	-	1 DCP 4-3		No groundwater encountered at the time of drilling.			
E	moist, non-plastic		3 DCP 6-5		Dor on rock nagments			
			4 DCP 5-5					
		- -	6 DCP 9-9*					
=	Hand Augor Polycal @ 7.5'		7 DCP 11-10*		Note: Boring refused at 7.5 feet on rock fragments.			
	Hallu Auger Refusar @ 7.5	 						
			•					
		-						
<u> </u>		15.0						
		_						
		-						
		_						
		_						
		– –						
		_			LEGEND			
		25.0		SF SS DCF GS=	= Standard Fenetiation Fest = Split-Spoon (sample) P = Dynamic Cone Penetrometer = Ground Surface			
		- -		IS: HW PW	 I op of Siab R= Highly Weathered Rock R= Partially Weathered Rock 			



Project Name:	The Aberdeen			Project No.:	4754.002.16
Client:	Engineered Solutions of Georg	jia		Boring No.:	B-9
Location:	Vinings, Georgia			Date:	11/11/2016
Elevation	Description	Depth	Samples		Drilling Observations
~1032 ft. MSI	Topsoil: 0"	(feet)	No. Type DCP		Hand Auger Boring
	•				
_	FILL Rock-laden SILT	—		N	aroundwater encountered
_	brown some sand trace				the time of drilling
_	roots, dry to moist, non-plastic	_		a	the time of timing.
_					
_					
_		0			
		5.0	+		
_		-			
_		L_			
_		<u> </u>			
_		-			
_		+-			
	FILL: Sandy SILT, soft to	10.0			
_	firm, brown, trace to some				
	rock fragments, moist,				
_	non-plastic	–			
_					
_		-			
_					
		15.0	4		
_		_			
_					
		L			
_					
_		-			
		+-			
	Boring Terminated @ 19'	20.0			
	5		1		
_		F			
_					
_		F			
-					
		L			LEGEND
				SPT= S	tandard Penetration Test
		25.0	4	SS = S	plit-Spoon (sample)
_		\vdash		DCP = I	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer
-		\vdash		55= Gr 55 - To	ound Sunace
-		┢		13 = 10	
_				HWR= I	Highly Weathered Rock
				PWR= I	Partially Weathered Rock



GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES

Pipe Investigation





Sanitary 1 Video



Sanitary 1 Pictures







Cross Section Report

MH Sanitary 1 to Sanitary 2

Downstream Inspection





Plan View Report

MH Sanitary 1 to Sanitary 2

Downstream Inspection





TV Inspection Report

MH Sanitary 1 to Sanitary 2 Downstream Inspection

P S R					PO n	ı u m b e	umber Status Date				Time Weatl			her			
		Sani	tary 1					Со	mple	ted	11/0	3/2016	:50:3	84 AM			
		9	Street					Ci	ty					Owner			
	2700	Pac	es Ferry Road					Atlan	ta GA								
	Cu	s to me	r	5	Surveyor	Name	2				Cert	#	Le	ngth Surve	yed T	Гotal	Length
					Randy /	Askea	9				Cob	ra		41.8			
Locati	ion Codo		Location	Dotaile		- W	idth	5	hano		Matorial	Bro Cloor	ing	Data Clear			
Park	ina Lot		Location	Details	8	a vv	rutn	Cir	cular	•	DIP	N	ing	Date Clear	eu		ewer use
Unstre	am MH		S Rim to Inv	US Grd to In	v US	Rimt	o Grd		ownstr	eam M	MH D	S Rim to In	v DS	Grd to Inv	D	S Rin	n to Grd
Sanit	ary 1		20.500						Sanit	ary 2	2	18.900			1		
Direc	tion		low control	Drainage Ar	ea Li	ning	Metho	d	loint L	_ength	h Y	ear Laid	Year F	Renewed	Sev	ver C	ategory
Downs	stream									<u>j</u>							
		Vi	deo Name		Μ	ledia	Label				Addi	tional Info			Purp	ose	Sheet
Sa	nitary 1	L_35	9_11_03_20	16.mpg													1
			Optional 1									Op	tional 2				
			Optional 3									Op	tional4				
			Optional5									Op	tional6				
			Optional 7									Op	tional8				
			optionary									0 P	lionaro				
			Optional9									Opt	ional 10				
Footage	Code	CD	Obser	vation	At	То	V1	V2	%	Jt			Remark	s			Img
			<start inspecti<="" td=""><td>o n ></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></start>	o n >													
0.0	АМН		Manhole								Startin	g manhole:	Sanitary	1			х
0.0	MWL		Water Level						5								х
41.8	АМН		Manhole								Finishi	ng manhol	e: Sanita	ry 2			x
			<complete ins<="" td=""><td>pection></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></complete>	pection>													

Page 1 of 1



TV Inspection Report With Grading

MH Sanitary 1 to Sanitary 2 Downstream Inspection

P S R						PO number				Status Date					Time				Weather		
		Sani	tary 1						Co	mple	ted	11	/03	/2016	:50	0:3	4 AM				
		5	Street						C	ity							Owner				
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road	1					Atlan	ita GA	•										
	Cu	s to me	r		Surv	/eyor	Name	2				Cert # Leng					ngth Surve	th Surveyed Total Length			
					Ran	ndy A	skea	3				C	obra				41.8				
Locat	ion Code	2	Locatior	n Details	I	Ht/Dia	a W	idth	S	hape		Materi	al	Pre-Clean	ing		Date Clean	ed	S	ewer Use	
Park	cing Lot					8			Ci	rcular	•	DIP)	N							
Upstre	am MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to	o Inv	US F	Rim t	o Grd	D	ownstr	eam I	MH	DS F	Rim to In	v I	DS (Grd to Inv	C	DS Rin	n to Grd	
Sanit	tary 1		20.500							Sanit	ary 2	2	1	8.900							
Dire	ction	F	low control	Drainag	e Area	Li	ning	Method	: : :	Joint L	_engtl	h	Yea	r Laid	Yea	ar R	enewed	Se	wer C	ategory	
Down	stream																				
		Vi	deo Name			M	edia I	Label				Ad	ditio	nal Info				Pur	pose	Sheet	
Sa	anitary :	1_35	9_11_03_20	16.mpg																1	
			Ontionall											Ont	ional	2					
			optionari											opt	Tonar	-					
			Optional3											Opt	ional	4					
			Optional5											Opt	ional	6					
			Optional7											Opt	ional	8					
			Optional9											Opti	o n al 1	0					
Footage	Code	CD	Obser	rvation		At	То	V1	V 2	%	St	O&M	Jt			R	emarks			Img	
			<start inspect<="" th=""><th>ion></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></start>	ion>																	
0.0	АМН		Manhole											Startin	g mar	ho	le: Sanitar	y 1		х	
0.0	MWL		Water Level							5										х	
41.8	АМН		Manhole											Finishi 2	ng m	anh	ole: Sanit	ary		x	
			<complete ins<="" th=""><th>spection></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection>																	

Page 1 of 2



TV Inspection Report With Grading

MH Sanitary 1 to Sanitary 2 Downstream Inspection

	P S R			mber		Date	Т	ī m e	١	Weather			
s	anitary 1				Completed	11/	03/2016	:50	34 AM				
	Street				City			Owner					
2700	Paces Ferry Roa	d		Α	tlanta GA	lanta GA							
Cust	omer	Su	rveyor N	lame		Ce	rt #	Length Surveyed Total Length					
		Ra	andy As	skea		Co	bra		41.8				
Location Code	Locatio	n Details	Ht/Dia	Width	Shape	Materia	l Pre-Clear	ning	Date Clea	ned	Sewer Use		
Parking Lot			8		Circular	DIP	Ν						
Upstream MH	US Rim to Inv	US Grd to Inv	US Ri	im to Grd	Downstream	МН	DS Rim to In	v DS	Grd to Inv	DS	6 Rim to Grd		
Sanitary 1	20.500				Sanitary	2	18.900						
Direction	Flow control	Drainage Area	Lin	ing Method	Joint Lengt	h	Year Laid	Year	Renewed	Sew	er Category		
Downstream													
	Video Name		Me	dia Label		Ado	litional Info			Purp	ose Sheet		
Sanitary 1_	_359_11_03_20	016.mpg									2		
	Optional 1						Op	tional 2					
	Optional 3						οp	tional4					
	Optional 5						Ор	tional6					
	Optional 7						Op	tional 8					
	Optional 9						Opt	ional10)				

Structural									
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index		
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0		

O&M									
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index		
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0		

Overall									
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index		
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0		

Page 2 of 2





Sanitary 2 Video



Sanitary 2 Pictures






Cross Section Report

MH Sanitary 2 to Sanitary 3

Downstream Inspection



CAMS v10

Plan View Report

MH Sanitary 2 to Sanitary 3

Downstream Inspection





TV Inspection Report

MH Sanitary 2 to Sanitary 3 Downstream Inspection

P S R Sanitary 2					PO	n u m b e	er	Co	Status	ted	11/0	Date 3/2016	Tir :04:1	me 4 PM	We	eathe	er		
			Street					Ci	tv		, -	-,		Owner					
	2700	Pac	es Ferry Road					Atlan	ta GA	•				owner					
	Cu	stome	r		Survevoi	Name	<u>,</u>				Cert	#	Lei	Time Weather Weather Weather Weather Owner Conver Converted Conver					
					Randy	Askea	3				Cob	ra		110.4			J		
Locat	ion Code		Locatior	n Details	Ht/D	ia W	idth	S	hape	Ν	Material	Pre-Cleani	ng	Date Clean	ed	Sew	ver Use		
	ard				8			Cii	cular	•	DIP	N							
Upstre	am MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to I	nv US	Rim t	o Grd	D	ownsti	ream M	1H D	S Rim to Inv	DS (Grd to Inv	DS	Rim	to Grd		
Sanit	ary 2		18.900						Sanit	ary 3									
Direc	tion	F	low control	Drainage	Area L	ining	Metho	d.	Joint I	_ength	Y	ear Laid	Year R	enewed	Sewe	r Cat	egory		
Downs	stream																		
		Vi	deo Name		Ν	/ledia	label				Addit	ional Info			Purno	s e	Sheet		
Sa	nitary 2	2_36	0_11_03_20	16.mpg		- curu					71010110						1		
		_																	
			Optional1									Opti	onal 2						
			Optional3									Opti	onal4						
			Ontionals									Onti	o mol 6						
			Optionals									Opti	011410						
			Optional7									Opti	onal 8						
			Optional9									Opti	onal10						
Footage	Code	CD	Obse	vation	At	То	V1	V2	%	Jt			Remark	s			Img		
			<start inspect<="" th=""><th>ion></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></start>	ion>															
0.0	АМН		Manhole								Starting	ı manhole: S	Sanitary	2			x		
0.0	MWL		Water Level						5								х		
110.4	АМН		Manhole								Finishi	ng manhole	: Sanitar	у З			x		
			<complete ins<="" th=""><th>spection></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection>															

Page 1 of 1



MH Sanitary 2 to Sanitary 3 Downstream Inspection

		Ρ :	S R		F	°O num	ber		Status	5		Date	5	Т	ime	W	eath (er
		Sani	tary 2					Co	mple	ted	11,	/03/	2016	:04:	14 PM			
		5	Street					C	ity						Owner			
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road	1				Atlan	ita GA									
	Cu	sto m e	r		Surve	eyor Nan	пe				Ce	ert #		L	ength Surve	yed To	otal L	ength
					Rand	dy Ask	ea				Co	obra			110.4			
Locatio	on Code	2	Location	n Details	H	t / Di a	Width	S	hape		Materia	al P	re-Cleani	ng	Date Clear	ed	Sev	wer Use
Ya	ard					8		Ci	rcular		DIP		N					
Upstream	m MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to	o Inv	US Rim	to Grd	D	ownsti	ream I	MH	DS Ri	m to Inv	DS	Grd to Inv	DS	Rim	to Grd
Sanita	ry 2		18.900						Sanit	ary 3	3							
Direct	ion	F	low control	Drainag	e Area	Lining	g Metho	d	Joint I	Lengtl	h	Year	Laid	Year	Renewed	Sewe	er Cat	tegory
Downst	tream																	
		Vi	deo Name			Media	a Label				Ado	dition	al Info			Purpo	se	Sheet
San	itary 2	2_36	0_11_03_20	16.mpg														1
			Optional 1										Opti	onal 2				
			Optional3										Opti	onal4				
			Optional 5										Opti	onal 6				
			Ontional7										Onti	0 10 0				
			Optional7										Opti	Unaro				
			Optional9										Optic	nal10				
			1															
Footage	Code	CD	Obse	rvation		At To	> V1	V2	%	St	O&M	Jt			Remarks			Img
			<start inspect<="" th=""><th>ion></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>6</th><th></th><th></th><th>-</th><th></th><th>×</th></start>	ion>									6			-		×
0.0	АМН		Manhole						-				Starting	manh	ole: Sanitar	y 2		X
110.4			Manhole						5				Finishin	a mar	hole: Sanit	a riv		×
110.4			Mannore										3	y mai	more. Samt	ary		~
			<complete ins<="" th=""><th>spection></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection>														

Page 1 of 2



MH Sanitary 2 to Sanitary 3 Downstream Inspection

	P S R		PO nu	mber	Status		Date	Time	Weather
s	Sanitary 2				Completed	11/0	3/2016	:04:14 PM	
	Street				City			O w n e	er
2700	Paces Ferry Roa	d		А	tlanta GA				
Cust	tomer	Su	rveyor N	lame		Cert	#	Time :04:14 PM Owner Length Surveyed 110.4 g Date Cleaned DS Grd to Inv Year Renewed S Pu nal2 nal4 nal6 nal8	eyed Total Length
		Ra	ndy As	skea		Cob	ra	110.4	
Location Code	Locatio	n Details	Ht/Dia	Width	Shape	Material	Pre-Cleani	ng Date Clea	ined SewerUse
Yard			8		Circular	DIP	N		
Upstream MH	US Rim to Inv	US Grd to Inv	US Ri	m to Grd	Downstream	MH D	S Rim to Inv	DS Grd to Inv	DS Rim to Grd
Sanitary 2	18.900				Sanitary 3	3			
Direction	Flow control	Drainage Area	Lin	ing Method	Joint Lengt	h Y	ear Laid	Year Renewed	Sewer Category
Downstream									
	Video Name		Me	dia Label		Addi	ional Info		Purpose Sheet
Sanitary 2_	_360_11_03_20)16.mpg							2
	Optional 1						Opti	onal2	
	Optional 3						Opti	onal4	
	Optional 5						Opti	onal6	
	Optional7						Opti	onal 8	
	Optional 9						Opti	onal10	

			Struc	tural			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0

			O	λ.Μ			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0

			Ove	erall			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0

Page 2 of 2





Storm 1 Video



Storm 1 Pictures











Cross Section Report

MH Storm 2 to Storm 1







Plan View Report

MH Storm 2 to Storm 1

Upstream Inspection



TV Inspection Report

MH Storm 2 to Storm 1

														policalli		400				
P S R Storm 1						PO n	u m b e	er		Status		[Date		Time		Weat	ther		
		Sto	orm 1						Co	omplet	ed	11/0	3/2016	5	:23:14 AM					
		9	Street						С	ity					Own	er				
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Roa	d					Atlar	nta GA										
	Cu	stome	er		Surv	eyor	Name	2				Cert	#		Length Sur	ime Weather ime Weather 14 AM Owner ength Surveyed Total Len 104.1 Date Cleaned Sewer Grd to Inv Date Sewer Categ Purpose Simon Ks I				
					Ran	dy A	Askea	a				Cob	ra		104.:	1				
Locat	ion Code	e	Locatio	n Details	ŀ	Ht/Dia	a W	ïdth	S	hape		Material	Pre-Cle	eanir	ng Date Cle	aned	S	sewer Use		
Park	king Lot	:				12			Ci	rcular		СМР	N	1						
Upstre	am MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to	Inv	US I	Rim t	o Grd	D	ownstre	eam I	MH DS	Rim to	lnv	DS Grd to In	١V	DS Ri	m to Grd		
Sto	rm 1		4.200							Storr	m 2		8.000							
Dire	ction		Flow control	Drainage	Area	Li	ning	Metho	d	Joint Le	engtl	h Y	ear Laid		Year Renewed	S	ewer (Category		
Upst	ream																			
		Vi	deo Name			М	edia	Label				Addit	ional Inf	0		Pu	rpose	Sheet		
S	Storm 1	_355	_11_03_20	16.mpg														1		
			Ontionall)ntic	nal2					
			optionari											pric	, nai 2					
			Optional 3										C	ptic	onal4					
			Optional 5						Γ				C) p t i d	onal6					
			Ontional 7)	mal Q					
			Optional7										C	ptic	0 n a 1 8					
			Optional9										0	ptio	nal10					
Footage	Code	CD	Obse	ervation		At	То	V1	V2	%	Jt			l	Remarks			Img		
			<start inspect<="" td=""><td>tion></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></start>	tion>																
0.0	АМН		Manhole									Starting	manhol	e:St	orm 2			x		
0.0	MWL		Water Level							0								х		
32.9	SCP		Surface Corro	sion Meta		10	5											x		
33.5	OBZ		Obstacle Othe	er		5	7			15		Loose C	oncrete					х		
104.1	АМН		Manhole									Finishi	ng manh	ole:	Storm 1			X		
			<complete in<="" th=""><th>spection></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection>																

Page 1 of 1



MH Storm 2 to Storm 1

Upstream Inspection

		P :	S R			PO n	numbe	er		Status	5		Date	2		Tin	ne		Weat	her	
		Sto	rm 1						Co	omple	ted	11	/03/	2016	:2	3:1	4 AM				
			Street	-					С	ity							Owner				
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road	1					Atlar	ita GA	1							Weather M Surveyed Total Le D4.1 Cleaned Sewe o Inv DS Rim to ved Sewer Cate Purpose S Purpose S Ks torm 2 Storm 1			
	Cu	stome	er		Sur	veyor	Name	2				C	ert #			Len	ngth Surve	yed '	Total	Length	
					Rar	ndy /	Askea	a				C	obra				104.1				
Locat	ion Code	e	Locatio	n Details		Ht/Di	a W	'idth	S	hape		Materi	al P	re-Clear	ing		Date Clean	ed	S	ewer Use	
Park	king Lot					12			Ci	rcula	r	СМР		N							
Upstre	am MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to	Inv	US	Rim t	o Grd	D	ownst	ream I	МН	DS R	im to In	v	DS G	ard to Inv	E	OS Rin	n to Grd	
Sto	rm 1		4.200							Sto	rm 2		8	.000							
Di ree Upst	ction ream		Flow control	Drainag e	e Area	Li	ning	Method	k	Joint	Lengtl	h	Year	Laid	Ye	ear R	en ew ed	Se	wer C	ategory	
		Vi	deo Name			M	ledia	Label				Ad	dition	al Info				Purp	oose	Sheet	
S	Storm 1	_355	_11_03_201	L6.mpg																1	
			Optional 1					1						Ор	tiona	12					
			Optional 3											Ор	tiona	4					
			Optional 5											Ор	tiona	16					
			Optional7											Ор	tiona	8					
			Optional9											Opt	ional	10					
Footage	Code	CD	Obse	rvation		At	То	V1	V2	%	St	O&M	.H			R	emarks			Ima	
lisetage			<start inspect<="" th=""><th>ion></th><th></th><th>/-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>70</th><th></th><th>Contr</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></start>	ion>		/-				70		Contr									
0.0	АМН		Manhole											Startin	g ma	nhol	e: Storm 2	2		х	
0.0	MWL		Water Level							0					-					x	
32.9	SCP		Surface Corros	sion Meta		10	5				3									x	
33.5	OBZ		Obstacle Othe	r		5	7			15		3		Loose	Conc	rete				x	
104.1	АМН		Manhole											Finish	ing m	nanh	ole: Storm	n 1		х	
			<complete in<="" th=""><th>spection ></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection >																	

Page 1 of 2



MH Storm 2 to Storm 1

Upstream Inspection

	P S R		PO nu	mber	Status		Date	Time	Weather
	Storm 1				Completed	11/0	3/2016	Time :23:14 AM Owner Length Survey 104.1 ng Date Cleane DS Grd to Inv Year Renewed Onal2 onal4 onal6 onal8	
	Street				City				۱r
2700	Paces Ferry Roa	d		Α	tlanta GA				
Cust	omer	Sui	veyor N	ame		Cert	#	Length Surv	eyed Total Length
		Ra	ndy As	kea		Cob	ra	104.1	
Location Code	Locatio	n Details	Ht/Dia	Width	Shape	Material	Pre-Cleani	ng Date Clea	ned SewerUse
Parking Lot			12		Circular	СМР	Ν		
Upstream MH	US Rim to Inv	US Grd to Inv	US Ri	m to Grd	Downstream	MH D	S Rim to Inv	DS Grd to Inv	/ DS Rim to Grd
Storm 1	4.200				Storm 2		8.000		
Direction	Flow control	Drainage Area	Lini	ng Method	Joint Lengt	h Y	ear Laid	Year Renewed	Sewer Category
Upstream									
	Video Name		Mec	lia Label		Addii	ional Info		Purnose Sheet
Storm 1_3	355_11_03_20	16.mpg							2
	Optional 1						Opti	onal2	
	Optional 3						Opti	onal4	
	Ontional5						Onti	onal6	
	optionary						opti		
	Optional7						Opti	onal 8	
	Optional 9						Optic	nal10	

			Struc	tural			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	1	0	0	3.0	3100	3.0

			O	λ.Μ			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	1	0	0	3.0	3100	3.0

			Ove	erall			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	2	0	0	6.0	3200	3.0

Page 2 of 2





Storm 2 Video



Storm 2 Pictures













Storm 3 Video



Storm 3 Pictures









Cross Section Report

MH Storm 4 to Storm 3



- 🗙 = video attached
- 🗙 = uninspected portion

Page 1 of 1

Plan View Report

MH Storm 4 to Storm 3

Upstream Inspection



TV Inspection Report

MH Storm 4 to Storm 3

												openean		p ool	
		Ρ.	S R		РО	numbe	er		Status		Date	Time		Weath	er
		Sto	rm 3					Co	mplet	ted	11/03/2016	:14:09 AM			
		S	Street					Ci	ty			Owr	er		
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road	d				Atlan	ta GA	L.					
	Cu	sto m e	r		Surveyo	r Name	e				Cert #	Length Su	veyed	Total l	_ength
					Randy	Askea	a				Cobra	30.2	2		
Locat	tion Code		Location	n Dotails		ia W	/idth	c	hana		Matorial Bro Close	Data Cl	anad	5.01	worlls
Park	king Lot		Location	n Details	18		nutii	Ci	cular		CMP N		aneu	36	werus
Unstre	am MH		S Rim to Inv	US Grd to I	nv US	Rimt	o Grd		ownstr	eam M	MH DS Rim to In	v DS Grd to Lr	1 V	DS Rim	to Grd
Stor	rm 3		7.900						Stor	m 4	9.900			bo kim	
Dire	ction		low control	Drainage	Area I	ining	Metho		loint l	onatk	Vear Laid	Vear Penewed		wor Ca	tegory
Upst	tream			Diamage	Alea L	ming	Metho	u .	JOINT	engti		Teal Kellewed	31	ewer ca	legory
		Vi	deo Name		Γ	/led i a	Label				Additional Info		Pui	pose	Sheet
S	Storm 3	_357	_11_03_201	L6.mpg											1
			Optionall								Op	tional2			
			Ontional3								On	tional4			
			optionals								00	lionari			
			Optional 5								Op	tional6			
			Optional7								Op	tional 8			
			Optional9								Opt	ional10			
Footage	Code	CD	Obse	rvation	At	То	V1	V 2	%	Jt		Remarks			Img
			<start inspect<="" td=""><td>ion></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></start>	ion>											
0.0	АМН		Manhole								Starting manhole:	Storm 4			x
0.0	MWL		Water Level						0						х
4.6	DSC		Deposits Settl	ed Compa	5	7			15						х
30.2	АМН		Manhole								Finishing manhol	e: Storm 3			Х
			<complete in<="" td=""><td>spection></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></complete>	spection>											

Page 1 of 1



MH Storm 4 to Storm 3

Upstream Inspection

PSR Storm 2						PO n	u m b e	er		Status	5		Date		Т	ime	v	Veath	er
		Sto	rm 3						Со	mple	ted	11	/03/	2016	:14:	09 AM			
		5	itreet						Ci	ty						Owner			
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road	1					Atlan	ta GA	•								
	Cu	s to me	r		Surv	eyor	Name					C	ert #		L	ength Surve	yed T	otal l	ength
					Ran	dy A	skea	3				C	obra			30.2			
Locat	tion Code	e	Location	n Details	ŀ	Ht / Di a	W	idth	S	hape		Materi	al P	re-Cleani	۱g	Date Clear	ned	Se	wer Use
Parl	king Lot					18			Cir	rcula	•	СМР		N					
Upstre	eam MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to	lnv	US R	tim to	o Grd	D	ownst	ream N	ИН	DS Ri	m to Inv	DS	Grd to Inv	DS	Rim	to Grd
Sto	rm 3		7.900							Sto	rm 4		9	.900					
Dire	ction	F	low control	Drainage	e Area	Lir	ning	Method	۰ ۱	Joint	Length	n	Year	Laid	Year	Renewed	Sew	er Ca	tegory
Upst	tream																		
		Vi	deo Name			Me	edia I	Label				Ad	dition	al Info			Purpo	ose	Sheet
5	Storm 3	_357	_11_03_201	L6.mpg															1
			Optional 1											Opti	onal 2				
			Optional 3											Opti	onal4				
			Optional 5											Opti	onal 6				
			Optional7											Opti	onal 8				
			Optional9											Optio	nal 1 0				
Footage	Code	CD	Obse	rvation		At	То	V1	V2	%	St	O&M	Jt			Remarks			Img
			<start inspect<="" th=""><th>ion></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></start>	ion>															
0.0	АМН		Manhole											Starting	manh	ole: Storm	4		x
0.0	MWL		Water Level							0									х
4.6	DSC		Deposits Settle	ed Compa.		5	7			15		3							x
30.2	АМН		Manhole											Finishin	g mar	nhole: Storn	n 3		х
			<complete in:<="" th=""><th>spection></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection>															

Page 1 of 2



MH Storm 4 to Storm 3

Upstream Inspection

	P S R Storm 3			mber	Status		Date		Time	V	√eather	
	Storm 3				Completed	11/	03/2016	:14	:09 AM			
	Street				City				Owner			
2700	Paces Ferry Roa	d		Α	tlanta GA							
Cust	omer	Su	urveyor N	lame		Cei	rt #		Length Surve	yed T	otal Leng	th
		R	andy As	skea		Co	bra		30.2			
Location Code	Locatio	on Details	Ht/Dia	Width	Shape	Materia	Pre-Clear	ing	Date Clear	ned	Sewer I	Use
Parking Lot			18		Circular	СМР	N					
Upstream MH	US Rim to Inv	US Grd to Inv	US Ri	m to Grd	Downstream	MH	DS Rim to In	v D	S Grd to Inv	DS	Rim to C	Grd
Storm 3	7.900				Storm 4	4	9.900					
Direction	Flow control	Drainage Are	a Lin	ing Method	Joint Leng	th	Year Laid	Yea	r Renewed	Sew	er Catego	ry
Upstream												
	Video Name		Me	dia Label		Add	itional Info			Purpo	ose She	eet
Storm 3_3	357_11_03_20	16.mpg									2	2
	Optional 1						Opt	tional	2			
	Optional 3						Opt	tional	1			
	Optional 5						Op	tional	5			
	Optional7						Opt	tional	3			
	Optional9						Opt	ional 1	0			

	Structural													
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index							
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0							

	O&M													
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index							
0	0	1	0	0	3.0	3100	3.0							

			Ove	erall			
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index
0	0	1	0	0	3.0	3100	3.0

Page 2 of 2





Storm 4 Video



Storm 4 Pictures











Cross Section Report

MH Storm 4 to Retention Box

Downstream Inspection





Plan View Report

MH Storm 4 to Retention Box

Downstream Inspection



27.5 <Completed inspection> at 103:52:42

Page 1 of 1

TV Inspection Report

MH Storm 4 to Retention Box

Downstream Inspection

PSR						numbe	er		Statu	S		Date	1	「 i m e		Weatł	her
		Sto	rm 4					Co	mple	eted	11/0	3/2016	:20	:08 AM			
		5	Street					C	ty					Owner			
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road					Atlan	ta G/	4							
	Cu	stome	r		Surveyor	Name	2				Cert	#	L	ength Surve	yed T	īotal	Length
					Randy /	Askea	3				Cob	ra		27.5			
Locati	ion Code	5	Locatior	n Details	Ht/Di	a W	idth	S	hape	ľ	Material	Pre-Clea	ning	Date Clear	ned	Se	ewer Use
Park	ing Lot				18			Ci	rcula	r	RCP	Ν					
Upstrea	am MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to I	nv US	Rim t	o Grd		ownst	ream M	IH D	S Rim to I	nv DS	Grd to Inv	D	S Rim	n to Grd
Stor	·m 4		9.900					Re	etenti	ion Bo	ох						
Direc	tion	F	low control	Drainage A	Area Li	ining	Metho	d	Joint	Length	Y	ear Laid	Year	Renewed	Sev	ver Ca	ategory
Downs	stream																
		Vi	deo Name		N	1edia	Label				Addit	ional Info			Purp	ose	Sheet
S	torm 4	_358	_11_03_201	6.mpg													1
			Optional 1									Op	tional2				
			Optional3									Οŗ	tional4				
			Optional 5									Op	tional6				
			Optional7									Op	tional8				
			Optional 9									Op	tional 1 ()			
Footage	Code	CD	Obser	vation	At	То	V1	V2	%	Jt			Rema	rks			Img
			<start inspect<="" td=""><th>ion></th><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></start>	ion>													
0.0	АМН		Manhole								Starting	g manhole	Storm	4			x
0.0	MWL		Water Level						0								Х
18.5	JSL		Joint Separated	d Large							Joint s	eparated at	6:00				X
27.5	MGO		General Observ	/ation							Contro	Structure					Х
27.5	AOC		Special Chamb	er							Finishi	ng manho	le: Reter	ition Box			x
			<complete ins<="" th=""><th>spection ></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></complete>	spection >													

Page 1 of 1



MH Storm 4 to Retention Box

Downstream Inspection

P S R						PO nu	umber			Status	5		Dat	е		Τi	me	•	Weath	ıer
		Sto	rm 4						Co	mple	ted	11	/03/	2016		20:0	08 AM			
		5	Street						Ci	ty							Owner			
	2700) Pac	es Ferry Road	l				A	tlan	ta GA										
	Cu	stome	r		Surv	veyor I	Name					С	ert #			Le	ngth Surve	yed -	Fotal	Length
					Ran	dy A	skea					C	obra				27.5			
Locat	ion Code	-	Location	Details	1	lt/Dia	Wid	lth	S	hape		Materi	al	Pre-Clea	ning		Date Clean	ed	Se	wer Use
Park		•				10			CI	Cular		RCP								
Upstre	am MH	U	S Rim to Inv	US Grd to	o Inv	US R	im to	Grd		ownstr	eam M	мн	DS R	im to l	nv	DS	Grd to Inv		S Rim	to Grd
Stor	r m 4		9.900						Rt	etenti	опв	UX								
Direc	ction		Flow control	Drainag	e Area	Lin	ning M	ethod		Joint l	_ength	n T	Year	Laid	Y	ear F	Renewed	Se۱	ver Ca	ategory
Downs	stream																			
		Vi	deo Name			Me	edia La	ıbel				Ad	ditio	nal Info				Purp	ose	Sheet
S	torm 4	_358	_11_03_201	6.mpg																1
			Optional 1											Οp	otion	al 2				
			Ontional?											0.	tion	214				
			Optionals											01		d14				
			Optional 5											Op	otiona	al6				
			Optional7											Op	otiona	al 8				
			Optional9											Ор	tiona	ul 1 0				
Footage	Code	CD	Obser	vation		At	То	V1	V 2	%	St	O&M	Jt			F	Remarks			Img
			<start inspecti<="" th=""><th>ion></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></start>	ion>																
0.0	АМН		Manhole											Starti	ng ma	anho	le: Storm 4	4		х
0.0	MWL		Water Level							0										х
18.5	JSL		Joint Separated	d Large							2			Joint	separ	rated	at 6:00			X
27.5	MGO		General Observ	/ation										Contr	ol Str	ructu	ire			X
27.5	AUC		Special Chamb	er										Finisr	ing	manı	nole: Reten	tion		X
			<complete ins<="" td=""><td>spection></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>BUX</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></complete>	spection>										BUX						
				, peerion ,																
18.5 27.5 27.5	JSL MGO AOC		Joint Separated General Observ Special Chamb <complete ins<="" td=""><td>d Large vation er spection></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>Joint Contr Finish Box</td><td>separ</td><td>rated manl</td><td>at 6:00 Ire hole: Reten</td><td>tion</td><td></td><td></td></complete>	d Large vation er spection>							2			Joint Contr Finish Box	separ	rated manl	at 6:00 Ire hole: Reten	tion		

Page 1 of 2



MH Storm 4 to Retention Box

Downstream Inspection

	P S R		PO nu	mber	Status		Date		Ti	me	W	eather
	Storm 4				Completed	11	/03/2	2016	:20:0	08 AM		
	Street				City					Owner		
2700	Paces Ferry Roa	d		A	tlanta GA							
Cust	omer	Su	ırveyor N	lame		С	ert #		Le	ength Surve	yed To	tal Length
		R	andy As	skea		C	obra			27.5		
Location Code	Locatio	n Details	Ht/Dia	Width	Shape	Materi	al Pr	e-Cleani	ng	Date Clear	ed	Sewer Us
Parking Lot			18		Circular	RCP		Ν				
Upstream MH	US Rim to Inv	US Grd to Inv	US Ri	m to Grd	Downstream	MH	DS Ri	m to Inv	DS	Grd to Inv	DS	Rim to Gro
Storm 4	9.900				Retention	Box						
Direction	Flow control	Drainage Are	a Lin	ing Method	Joint Leng	th	Year	Laid	Year	Renewed	Sewe	er Category
Downstream												
	Video Name		Me	dia Label		Ad	ditiona	al Info			Purpo	se Sheet
Storm 4_3	358_11_03_20	16.mpg										2
	Optional 1							Opti	onal 2			
	Optional 3							Opti	onal4			
	Optional 5							Opti	onal 6			
	Optional7							Opti	onal 8			
	Optional 9							Optio	nal 10			

	Structural													
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index							
0	1	0	0	0	2.0	2100	2.0							

	O&M													
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index							
0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0000	0.0							

	Overall													
Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Rating	Quick	Index							
0	1	0	0	0	2.0	2100	2.0							

Page 2 of 2





2260 Northwest Parkway • Suite H • Marietta, GA 30067 • 678-290-1325

Commercial Contract for Services

Date of Issue: 12/2/16

Customer Information		Jobsite Information		
Name: Helen Smith Community Management Associates		Contact Name: Helen Smith Community Management Associates		
Address: 2700 Paces Ferry Road		Address: The Aberdeen at Paces Ferry 2700 Paces Ferry Road		
City: Atlanta	State: GA	Zip: 30339	City: Atlanta	State: GA Zip: 30339
Phone: (770) 434-0289			Phone: (770) 434-0289	
Cell: (770) 653-8254			Cell: (770) 653-8254	
Fax:			Fax:	
Email: hsmith@cmacommunities.com		Email: hsmith@cmacom	munities.com	

ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS OF GEORGIA PROPOSES TO FURNISH AND INSTALL THE FOLLOWING SCOPE OF WORK:

"Budget Proposal"						
	Pending Final Repair Design					
ENGINE	ENGINEERING AND DESIGN OF ALL REPAIR AREAS - \$7,700.00					
WORK	WORK AREA 1 – \$221,565.00					
Parking	Garage Corner – (Electrical Room, Parking Garage Entrance, Tran	sformer Pads and Lower retaining Wal	1)			
1. P 2. U 3. C 4. P 5. R	rivate Utility Locate nderpinning of Accessible Foundations with Foundation Support Piles ompaction Grouting beneath inaccessible Foundations ressure (Injection) Grouting throughout area epair separated Pipe Joint with CIPP Pipe Lining System					
WORK	AREA 2 - \$39,625.00					
Loading	Dock Pavement and Adjacent Stairs/Site Wall at Side Entry					
6. P 7. U 8. P	rivate Utility Locate nderpinning of Site-Wall and steps Foundations with Foundation Support Piles ressure (Injection) Grouting throughout area					
WORK	AREA 3 - \$68,440.00					
Middle	Retaining Wall at Parking garage Entrance including Adjacent Pav	ement and Sidewalks				
1. P 2. U 3. P	rivate Utility Locate nderpinning of Site-Wall and steps Foundations with Foundation Support Piles ressure (Injection) Grouting throughout area					
	Payment Schedule					
	Deposit \$84,332.50					
	Due Upon Completion \$252,997.50	Total Contract Amount	\$337,330.00			

Quotation valid for 30 days from the date of issue. Contract subject to terms and conditions printed on the accompanying addenda.

Presented by ESOG		Accepted by the Customer		
ESOG Signature	Date	Customer Signature	Date	
Chuck Irby Print Name		Helen Smith Community Management Associates Print Name		

Terms & Conditions of This Contract

Customer: Helen Smith Community Managemethol/sisteoAidduress: The Abredeen at Paces Ferry 2700 Paces Ferry Road, Atlanta, GA 30339 Date of Issue: 12/2/16

PAYMENT TERMS

Payment terms shall be as stated in this proposal. Payment is due in the form of cash, check, credit card or money order. The customer hereby expressly agrees and consents to ESOG's presentation of and request for payment of any check or other payment order issued to ESOG by the customer by any commercially reasonable electronic means in accordance with applicable provisions of the Uniform Commercial Code and the customer further authorizes any bank or other financial institution on which any such order is drawn or through which such order is payable to make payment pursuant to such order directly to ESOG or for credit to ESOG is account by electronic funds transfer. ESOG may apply the customer's payment against any open charges at ESOG's sole discretion. The customer agrees to pay ESOG on past due accounts a monthly interest charge equal to the maximum interest charge permitted by the law governing the account between the customer and ESOG. The customer and ESOG further agree that, where required by law to specify such rate, a rate of one and on-half percent (1.5%) per month shall apply. The interest rate provide hereby shall continue to accrue after ESOG obtains a judgment against the customer. The customer agrees to pay ESOG all costs, expenses of collection, suit or other legal action, including all actual attorney's and paralegal fees incurred pre-suit, through the trial, on appeal or in any administrative proceedings brought about as a result of the consent of the customer.

CONTRACT TIME

It is understood that the work is to be performed in one continuous operation unless otherwise specifically agreed.

PERMITS

The customer shall provide permits for all work.

CLEAR WORK AREA

This includes removal by the customer of any and all obstructions and/or impediments in the work area. This includes but is not limited to: carpet, floor covering, stairs, counters, counter tops, cabinets, shelves, plumbing, appliances, furniture and fixtures. A workspace of at least 36" from each wall and a clear path of ingress and egress for personnel and equipment to and from the work area shall be provided.

ACCESS TO WORKSITE, WATER AND ELECTRICAL POWER

The customer shall provide access to the work area, water for mixing concrete (if necessary) and cleanup and electricity. If no power is provided, the customer will be responsible for any cost incurred in providing power. In the event of circuit overload, access to the fuse or circuit breaker box (electrical service) must be provided. In the case of fuses, the customer must provide an ample supply of replacement fuses in the event of circuit overload. If pumps are required, the customer shall be responsible for providing an electrical outlet within 25 feet of the pump.

PRE-BID INFORMATION

Information used in planning the work covered in this proposal has been furnished by the customer and ESOG assumes no responsibility for its accuracy. If conditions are not in accordance with the information furnished to ESOG by the customer or others, the recommended procedures and scope of work in this proposal may not be accurate and any additional expenses incurred by ESOG as a result of this difference will be reimbursed to ESOG by the customer at cost plus 15%.

PRIOR NEGOTIATIONS

All prior negotiations, proposals, correspondence and memoranda between the customer and ESOG are superseded by this proposal. This proposal, in its entirety, shall be made an integral part of and incorporated into any purchase order, proposal or contract agreement resulting from it. This proposal is subject to revision in scope, price and terms if not accepted in writing by the customer within 30 days.

TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

If conditions beyond ESOG's control make it impossible for ESOG to perform as specified and the customer elects to terminate the contract, ESOG will be entitled to reimbursement in full for all ESOG's costs including mobilization, labor, materials and overhead plus a reasonable profit for all work performed up to the date of written notification of termination by the buyer.

LIEN RIGHTS

It is mutually agreed that ESOG shall retain any and all rights conferred upon it by the lien statutes of the state in which the jobsite is located and of the federal or territorial government.

SURVEYS AND UTILITY LOCATE

The customer shall provide surveys to locate and stake for all pile locations and top of pier elevations and shall locate all underground utilities.

NORMAL CONSTRUCTION

This contract assumes normal construction, concrete thickness and footing depth (no more than three feet below interior slab) and further assumes compliance with applicable building codes. If unforeseen subsurface conditions are encountered additional charges may be levied (at the contractor's option) to prepare the area for install





2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067 ofc. 678.290.1325 fax 770.956.7403 www.esogrepair.com

CORE VALUES

UNDERSTANDING

- It is important to us that our customers fully understand the issues they are having with their home and why the problems have occurred.
- We will create a customized plan that will fully address the issues and insure that our customers completely understand what we will be doing and how it will be done.

<u>TRUST</u>

We want to earn the trust of our customer in three ways:

- **COMMUNICATION** From the first phone call to the last we will keep our customers informed of their project status and changes as we work together.
- **EXECUTION** From the project design to the completion of the work we will do exactly what we have contracted together to accomplish.
- WORKMANSHIP Every project is custom designed to correct the issues and we will stand behind it with a warranty that is stated in the contract. We will also send out warranty certificates that are transferable with the property.

<u>RESPECT</u>

We consider our customers friends and family and we treat them that way. We will respect their time by confirming all appointments and arriving on time. We will treat their home like our own while performing all work and we will dress and speak professionally at all times. We ensure that all work related debris is removed when the job is completed.



LEGEND					
	INDICATES WORK AREA I				
	INDICATES WORK AREA 2				
	INDICATES WORK AREA 3				
				^	
The Aberg	REPAIR AREAS		DRAWN BY RS SCALE N.T.S. DATE	ENCINEERED SOLUTIONS or <u>Goorgia</u> Foundation Repair & Waterproofing	
	Atlanta, GA		12/2/2016 DRAWING NUMBER SK-1	This drawing is the sole property of Engineered Solutions of Georgia. Reproducing or copying this drawing, in whole or in part, is prohibited without the express written permission of Engineered Solutions of Georgia. It is only to be used for the project designated herein and may not be used for any other project. It is to be returned upon request.	












INSITUFORM® CIPP

Affordable, reliable and non-disruptive solutions for sewer pipe reconstruction



Our Trenchless Solution

The Insituform[®] cured-in-place pipe (CIPP) is a jointless, seamless, pipe-within-a-pipe used to rehabilitate sanitary sewers, storm sewers and force mains.

Insituform[®] CIPP addresses your top concerns:

Infiltration reduction. Water entering your sewer system through cracks, holes and joint failures can overload your treatment facilities, especially during wet weather. Insituform® CIPP significantly reduces this infiltration. In dry climates, roots find the sewer system an attractive source of water and nutrients and create blockages and overflows. Insituform® CIPP contains your flow within the pipe while keeping external water and roots out.

Structural integrity. Insituform[®] CIPP restores structural integrity to your damaged sewer pipes. The design models used, independent test results and over 40 years of service all confirm that Insituform[®] CIPP is a structural product with a 100-year design life.

Increased flow capacity. Insituform[®] CIPP provides the least crosssectional reduction of all methods used to rehabilitate pipes. There are no joints or seams that can separate over time and the smooth, jointless interior provides excellent abrasion resistance and typically improves flow capacity.

Affordability. The Insituform[®] CIPP process is usually less expensive than conventional dig and replace methods of sewer repair. When the lost business revenues, traffic congestion and social costs associated with other methods are considered, your savings are immeasurable.

Installation flexibility. Insituform[®] CIPP can be installed using either air or water inversion, or by pulling into place. The cure can be done with steam or hot water. All processes are consistent with nationally recognized standards and Insituform's own ISO-certified quality control program. Since each job is unique, we apply the most cost-effective, technically optimal solution to meet your pipeline rehabilitation needs.



Insituform[®] CIPP is the best choice for trenchless rehabilitation.

Insituform superior processes

Since inventing CIPP over 40 years ago, Insituform has developed the highest quality manufacturing and installation systems in the trenchless industry.

As a vertically integrated company, we take responsibility for research and development, manufacturing, installation and service. Our systems are designed to produce consistency and high performance in our products and services.

Manufacturing

Insituform's patented manufacturing capabilities are certified to the ISO 9001:2008 standard, ensuring that our tubes are constructed for optimal long-term performance. During the manufacturing process, each tube goes through 25 separate quality checks.

Wet out

Insituform's resin impregnation process ensures that Insituform[®] CIPP achieves the required strength and enables wet out of many lengths, diameters and thicknesses.

Insituform's wet out facilities utilize environmentally friendly methods and equipment. In fact, Insituform has been recognized by the United States' Environmental Protection Agency for efforts to protect the environment at its various wet out facilities.

Installation

Every Insituform installation is completed using our own safetycertified crews who follow strict safety procedures and documented work practices. Each crew is equipped with highly specialized equipment, backup resources and engineering support.

Insituform's advanced installation methods include air invert steam cure, which reduces water usage on a job site by approximately 95% and energy usage by 75%.

Transportation Solutions

Insituform offers affordable, trenchless solutions to renew and extend the life of underground stormwater control and drainage structures. A large number of culverts running under the nation's roadways are approaching or have exceeded their expected design life. A culvert or storm sewer pipe collapse can have catastrophic effects on the traveling public, your budget and your credibility. Insituform can help you avoid the direct costs and the social costs of a failure by proactively renewing your underground assets.

For transportation projects, particularly culverts, Insituform uses installation methods that minimize the use of water and maximize resin containment, thus protecting downstream waters from contamination.

The Insituform® CIPP Installation Process



Step 1:

Step 2:

replacement pipe.

A resin-saturated, coated felt tube is inverted (shown) or pulled into a damaged pipe.

Hot water or steam is used to cure the resin and form a tight-fitting,

jointless and corrosion-resistant



Step 3:

Service laterals are restored internally with robotically controlled cutting devices and the rehabilitated pipe is inspected by closed-circuit TV.

The Insituform[®] CIPP Technical Envelope

The Insituform[®] CIPP Technical Envelope

	-
Diameter range	4 in. – 124 in.*
pH range	0.5 - 10.5
Effluent temperature	up to 140° F
Pipe condition — fully deteriorated	Yes
Pipe condition — partially deteriorated	Yes
Bends	Yes
Offset joints	Yes
Diameter changes	Yes, without manhole access
Thickness changes	Yes, without manhole access
Typical shot length	200 ft 1000 ft.
Host pipe shape	All shapes
Host pipe material	All materials

* Thickness and length limitations in larger diameters

This table refers to general purpose municipal sewer CIPP projects. Insituform can provide products that extend beyond these parameters through our engineering group. Please contact your local representative at 800.234.2992 for assistance with applications extending beyond this technical envelope.



an **AEGION** company

Insituform Technologies, LLC 17988 Edison Avenue St. Louis, MO 63005 800.234.2992 www.insituform.com





Engineered Solutions of Georgia

***** 159 Reviews (678) 905-1499 www.esogrepair.com »

CONTACT THIS BUSINESS

What your neighbors are saying

Very Helpful, 8/11/2015

I was having difficulty trying to find out where my basement was leaking. I contacted Engineered Solutions based on the reviews I read on line. Allan came to my house and not only gave me a detailed...

Very honest company, 3/25/2015

Allan Waite came and consulted on a sidewalk which was pulling away from our house. He presented the options, and told me about how much each option would cost. The lesser cost was one that I could...

Larry F. Posted on 2016-03-21	Todd was very knowledge and Todd was very knowledge and the prices were cheaper. They did a really good and they absolutely fixed everything. They made us happy and I have recommended them and I will again.	OVERALL QUALITY SERVICE VALUE	**** **** ****
Joe S. Posted on 2016-03-10	the experience was extraordinary starting the experience was extraordinary starting with the follow-up from Samantha to the delightful initial call from Luis to the highly-professional skills and professional decorum and sterling work ethics of Shane, Alex, Jonathan, and Cruz.This is not an empty gesture of just wanting to be "nice." As a business man and one conversant with construction, this outfit and team are absolutely first-rate. FIVE STARS for sure!	OVERALL QUALITY SERVICE VALUE	**** **** ****
Nick W. Posted on 2015-10-17	ESoG provided a solid engineered ESoG provided a solid engineered solution to my structural problems around my home. This consisted of a combination of screw anchors and driven piles. Reaching a good load bearing soil required depths over 20 feet. Their solution was well thought out and all alternatives discussed. The work was carried out on time by professionals who were no strangers to what they were doing. And they were neat! Tarps were used to store the dirt that they had to move, and everything thoroughly cleaned up when they left. They took pride in their work and seemed to delight in showing off what they had accomplished. Well pleased!	OVERALL QUALITY SERVICE VALUE	**** **** *****
John M. Posted on 2015-10-14	ESOG arrived when they said ESOG arrived when they said they would and set up ans started work efficiently. My job was a sinkhole under my driveway that was pulling the surrounding soils into it. Allan surveyed the site and presented a proposal based on his best estimate of the job, while emphasizing that a job like mine had many unknowns that could make it a larger job than expected. He was correct, our sinkhole was much bigger than expected, so the crew came back over four days to finish up the job. They cleaned up every day, and at the end, they pressure washed the street to remove all residues. I watched the job over most of the time they were here and was quite satisfied that they were able to fill the sinkhole and solve my problem. I would definitely use them again.	OVERALL QUALITY SERVICE VALUE	**** **** ****
Pat S. Posted on 2015-10-08	This was the best contractor This was the best contractor I have dealt with in a long time. They did exactly what they contracted to do, worked in the hot crawl space by going thru a basement window, and the cellar looked better after they left than before. And I feel it is structurally ready for 50 more years. It was a relief to find them for cellar/pier work. There are some fast operators out there! Chris's report went a bit overboard, included speculation, and included the atticwhich has stood up there for 100 years with one small plaster incident. My entire objective was improving the main floor and the cellar. That attic photo/commentary caused a lot of anxiety when I gave his report to the prospective buyers, as I was required to do legally. When asked he did remove the speculative comments. The rest of his report was specific and told just what to do. I would use him again, but give directions! Overall the company was terrific. I wish they would advertise under "crawl encore".	OVERALL QUALITY SERVICE VALUE	**** **** ****











Engineered Solutions of Georgia

2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H · Marietta, GA 30062 · (678) 290-1325 www.esogrepair.com







the experience was extraordinary... starting with the follow-up from Samantha to the delightful initial call from Luis to the highlyprofessional skills and professional decorum and sterling work ethics of Shane, Alex, Jonathan, and Cruz. This is not an empty gesture of just wanting to be "nice." As a business man and one conversant with construction, this outfit and team are absolutely first-rate. FIVE STARS for sure!

Did you find this helpful? Yes

Review by Dave R. of Fayetteville, GA

Mar 09, 2016

Extremely knowledgable and great company!

Did you find this helpful? Yes

Review by Charles M. of Lilburn, GA

Feb 22, 2016

I am impressed by the work done by Engineered Solutions of Georgia.

Did you find this helpful? Yes

Review by Matt B. of Smyrna, GA

Feb 20, 2016

Excellent service from beginning to end. Hands down the best experience I've ever had with a contractor.

Did you find this helpful? Yes

Review by Richard P. of Douglasville, GA

Feb 20, 2016

★★★★★ Did what they promised on time and on budget

Did you find this helpful? Yes





Dale Cardwell



A Message from Dale

My wife Angle and I live in a brick home that was built in 1969. I've learned through secret shopping there are only a handful of companies that understand foundation and waterproofing - and even less that provide a permanent solution at a reasonable price. Engineered Solutions of Georgia is an exceptional company that provides excellence at a fair price.

About Engineered Solutions of Georgia:

Engineered Solutions of Georgia has been solving home and residential foundation problems since 2006. They are locally owned and operated with a team of experts in foundation repair and basement waterproofing. And, when it comes to these types of issues, you definitely want professionals with years of experience. If you've recently found damage to your foundation or think you may need repairs, make the call to Engineered Solutions of Georgia today.

Price

First, relax and know that Consumer Investigator Dale Cardwell has investigated and determined that Engineered Solutions of Georgia delivers excellence. Second, know that Engineered Solutions wants you to fully understand your issues and why your home's problems occurred. Engineered Solutions will customize a plan you will understand completely, and agree to the solution - before the job begins.

Quality

Engineered Solutions of Georgia is just that...your guarantee that a real engineer has weighed in on your home's challenges, has created a solution, and guarantees a satisfactory result. You can have no greater peace of mind. Plus, they work with cutting-edge, market-leading products. My investigation finds that few companies resolve your home's challenges as thoroughly and economically as Engineered Solutions of Georgia.

Customer Service

One call to Engineered Solutions and your concerns literally melt away. ESOG considers their customers as friends and family - and they treat you that way. TrustDale's review finds they respect your time by confirming all appointments and they arrive on time. Engineered Solutions - truly - treats your home like their own, and TrustDale guarantees they will dress and speak professionally at all times. Plus, they clean up after they leave! Combine this with the TrustDale Make It Right Guarantee, and you have an exceptional customer service experience!

What is the TRUSTDale Investigative Process & the Make it Right Guarantee?

7-Point Investigative Review

The TrustDale Investigative Process is completed through a personal interview with the business owner or representative, a completed application, public records search, and secret shopping. TrustDale disclaims any liability for any and all inadvertent inaccuracies.

- Price: The Company must price its main product or products competitively (unless there is a significant difference in the quality of the product). The Company must honor the price it advertises, and not use unavailable products to lure and trap customers into more expensive products.
- Verified Reputation & Customer Service: The performance of each company is continually and systematically reviewed for delivery of excellent customer service. This is achieved by conducting follow up surveys of customers who have contacted recommended service providers.
- What Would Cardwell Do: Dale Cardwell developed a proven standard of consumer fairness through thousands of real-world problem-solving experiences. This created the basis for "What Would Cardwell Do?" in regard to whether Dale would choose to personally do business with this Company.
- Governor's Office of Consumer Affairs, Consumer Publications, FTC: Each Company is reviewed through the pertinent agencies and publications.
- Licensing, Professional Certification, Insurance and Bonding: Each Company is reviewed for proper professional credentialing.
- Lawsuit Search and Review: A review of the Company's litigation history is completed to assure no history of unprofessional or illegal business practices.
- "Make it Right Guarantee": The Company agrees to accept and abide by TrustDale's Make it Right Guarantee.

Make it Right Guarantee

Certified businesses must agree in writing to accept and abide by the following:

- 1. Customers have a right to extraordinary customer service.
- My current warranty and customer service are among the best available in the field.
- I ensure complete customer satisfaction, by offering the TrustDale Make It Right guarantee.
- The TrustDale Guarantee becomes valid when a customer contacts the vendor through TrustDale, or confirms his purchase on TrustDale.com within 24 hours of the transaction.
- 5. The TrustDale Guarantee states that if the customer is dissatisfied with a product, repair or customer service, the customer will first employ the avenues of redress made available by the business' customer service agreement. In the event that at the conclusion of the process the customer remains dissatisfied, he/she will have the right to take the grievance to TrustDale.
- I will allow TrustDale and an independent expert in the field to review the customer's complaint. If upon conclusion of review, the independent expert and Dale agree that the customer's position is justified, I agree to abide by the recommendation of TrustDale to Make It Right.
- Make It Right means the vendor will repair/replace the product as recommended by TrustDale, or will pay for the product to be repaired or replaced, or will reimburse the customer for the cost of the repair/product at a price up to, but not to exceed, the original price paid by the customer.



4	C	ORD	с	ER	TIF	ICATE OF LIAB	ILITY INS	URANC	ENGISOL-0	1 DAT	FRIERSONT (MM/0D/YYYY) 11/6/2015	
T C B R	HIS ERT ELC	CERTIFICATE IS TIFICATE DOES M DW. THIS CERTI RESENTATIVE OR	ISSUED AS ANOT AFFIRMA	A MA	Y O ANC	R OF INFORMATION ON L' R NEGATIVELY AMEND, E DOES NOT CONSTITUTE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.	Y AND CONFERS EXTEND OR ALL E A CONTRACT	NO RIGHTS	S UPON THE CERTIFICA OVERAGE AFFORDED THE ISSUING INSURE	BY T R(S), A	OLDER. THIS HE POLICIES AUTHORIZED	
th th	MPO ne b	RTANT: If the operation of the second	certificate hold ons of the polic	er is y, ce	an A rtain	DDITIONAL INSURED, the policies may require an end	policy(ies) must forsement. A st	be endorsed atement on t	If SUBROGATION IS V his certificate does not	valve	D, subject to r rights to the	
PRO	DUC	ER		-	and a	C.	AME Ashley	Strickland				
Insurance Office of America, Inc.					2	PHONE (A/C, No, Ext); (678) 919-1150 FAX (A/C, No); (678) 919-1151						
Suit	e 12	200				A	E-MAIL ACORESS: Ashley.Strickland@ioausa.com					
Atlanta, GA 30339							RDING COVERAGE		NAIC #			
-				_	-		INSURER A : Admiral Insurance Company				24856	
INSI	RED	Engineered	Palutiana of Co				INSURER B Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company				23787	
		2260 Northw	est Pkwy	orgia	i, inc	10	INSURER C: RSUI Indemnity Company				22314	
		Suite H	10007			15	INSURER D : MINISAIR INSURANCE COMPANY				38920	
		marietta, GA	30007				SURFR F .					
co	VER	RAGES	CEF	TIF	CAT	E NUMBER:			REVISION NUMBER:			
TINCE	HIS IDIC ERTI	IS TO CERTIFY TH ATED. NOTWITHS IFICATE MAY BE IS USIONS AND COND	AT THE POLICI TANDING ANY F SSUED OR MAY	ES O REQU PER	F IN	SURANCE LISTED BELOW HA ENT, TERM OR CONDITION THE INSURANCE AFFORDE	VE BEEN ISSUED OF ANY CONTRA D BY THE POLIC	TO THE INSU CT OR OTHER IES DESCRIE	RED NAMED ABOVE FOR R DOCUMENT WITH RESP RED HEREIN IS SUBJECT	THE PO ECT TO TO ALC	OLICY PERIOD O WHICH THIS L THE TERMS	
INSR	1	TYPE OF INSU	RANCE	ADDL	SUB		POLICY EFF	POLICY EXP		76		
A	X	COMMERCIAL GENER	RAL LIABILITY	INSD	woo	CA00001977602	(MM/DD/YYY)	[MM/DD/YYYY]	EACH OCCUBBENCE	4	1.000.000	
		CLAIMS-MADE	X OCCUR				07/27/2015	07/27/2016	DAMAGE TO RENTED	8	50,000	
			tor lands of the			12 (312) (14 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (10000000000000	2006/00/64/00 2228	MED EXP (Any one person)	5		
		GENL AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER X POLICY PRO- JECT LOC							PERSONAL & ADV INJURY	\$	1,000,000	
	GE				4.				GENERAL AGGREGATE	\$	2,000,000	
	X								PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG	5	2,000,000	
_		OTHER		-	-				COMPANY CANOLE LINE	\$		
	X	X ANY AUTO ALL OWNED AUTOS X HIEFD AUTOS X HIEFD AUTOS X HIEFD AUTOS				DA 0000000000000		07/27/2016	(Ea accident)	8	1,000,000	
×	^					BA-000000555570	0//2//2015		BODILY INJURY (Per person)	5		
	x								PROPERTY DAMAGE	2		
			A0108						(Per accident)	1		
		UMBRELLA LIAS	X OCCUR	-				07/27/2016	EACH OCCURRENCE	5	5,000,000	
C	х	EXCESS LIAB	CLAIMS-MADE	1		NHA069574	07/27/2015		AGGREGATE	\$	5,000,000	
-		DED RETENTIK	DN S				2000000000000			5		
	AND	DRKERS COMPENSATION D EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY							PER OTH- STATUTE ER			
	ANY PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE		N/A		17.2			E L. EACH ACCIDENT	\$			
								EL DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE	8			
-	DES	CRIPTION OF OPERATION	ONS below	-		274111111111		-	E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT	5		
						0						
DESC	ESS	TION OF OPERATIONS /	LOCATIONS / VEHIC	LES (A	PLOY	0 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, 1 YERS LIABILITY ONLY	may be attached if mor	re space is requir	ed)			
CEF	TIF	ICATE HOLDER		_		c	ANCELLATION					
Engineered Solutions of Georgia, Inc. 2260 Northwest Pkwy Suite H Marietta, GA 30067						SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.						
					A	AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE						

The ACORD name and logo are registered marks of ACORD

© 1988-2014 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.

Thank you



Foundation Repair & Waterproofing

Corporate office 2260 Northwest Parkway Suite H Marietta, GA 30067 678-290-1325 - office 770-956-7403 – Fax www.esogrepair.com