

2
3 **Quantitation of Aloe Vera Polysaccharides in Dietary Supplements**

4
5 **Intended Use:** Reference method for cGMP compliance.

6
7 **1. Purpose:** AOAC SMPRs describe the minimum recommended performance characteristics
8 to be used during the evaluation of a method. The evaluation may be an on-site
9 verification, a single-laboratory validation, or a multi-site collaborative study. SMPRs are
10 written and adopted by AOAC Stakeholder Panels composed of representatives from the
11 industry, regulatory organizations, contract laboratories, test kit manufacturers, and
12 academic institutions. AOAC SMPRs are used by AOAC Expert Review Panels in their
13 evaluation of validation study data for method being considered for *Performance Tested*
14 *Methods* or *AOAC Official Methods of Analysis*, and can be used as acceptance criteria for
15 verification at user laboratories.

16
17 **2. Applicability:**

18 Quantitation of water soluble Aloe Vera main constituents and degradation products in the
19 matrices listed in Table 3.

20
21 **3. Analytical Technique:**

22 NMR, GC, Colorimetric, GPC; or any analytical technique that meets the following method
23 performance requirements is acceptable. It is expected that more than one technique will
24 be required.

25
26 **4. Definitions:**

27
28 **Aloe Vera Main Constituents and Degradation Products**

29 Aloe Vera Polysaccharides (Acetylated 1, 4 beta Glucomannan) is the signature component
30 of Aloe Vera. Acetic acid is a degradation product of Aloe Vera, quantified as a measure
31 of the level of de-acetylation of Aloe Vera polysaccharide (degradation product). Malic
32 acid is a necessary component of Aloe Vera. Lactic acid is a product of malolactic
33 fermentation (degradation product). Isocitrate is the component utilized to identify and
34 quantify whole leaf markers.

35
36 **Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)**

37 The minimum concentration or mass of analyte in a given matrix that can be reported as a
38 quantitative result.

39
40 **Repeatability**

41 Variation arising when all efforts are made to keep conditions constant by using the same
42 instrument and operator and repeating during a short time period. Expressed as the
43 repeatability standard deviation (SD_r); or % repeatability relative standard deviation
44 (%RSD_r).*

45
46 **Reproducibility**

47 The standard deviation or relative standard deviation calculated from among-laboratory
48 data. Expressed as the reproducibility standard deviation (SD_R); or % reproducibility relative
49 standard deviation (% RSD_R).*

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102

Recovery

The fraction or percentage of spiked analyte that is recovered when the test sample is analyzed using the entire method.**

5. Method Performance Requirements:

See table 1.

6. System suitability tests and/or analytical quality control:

Suitable methods will include blank check samples, and check standards at the lowest point and midrange point of the analytical range.

7. Potential Reference Material(s):

Custom Analytics (add Charlie's info) Low Molecular Weight Pure Polysaccharides (80,000 daltons)

Refer to Annex F: *Development and Use of In-House Reference Materials* in Appendix F: Guidelines for Standard Method Performance Requirements, 19th Edition of the AOAC INTERNATIONAL Official Methods of Analysis (2012). Available at: http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_f.pdf

8. Validation Guidance:

Appendix D: Guidelines for Collaborative Study Procedures To Validate Characteristics of a Method of Analysis; 19th Edition of the AOAC INTERNATIONAL Official Methods of Analysis (2012). Available at: http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_d.pdf

Appendix E: Guidelines for Standard Method Performance Requirements; 19th Edition of the AOAC INTERNATIONAL Official Methods of Analysis (2012). Available at: http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_f.pdf

Appendix K

Appendix K: *Guidelines for Dietary Supplements and Botanicals, Official Methods of Analysis* (current edition), AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA (http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_k.pdf). Also at: *J. AOAC Int.* **95**, 268(2012); DOI: 10.5740/jaoacint.11-447

Data demonstrating that the candidate method meets the performance criteria should be submitted for the adulterants listed in Table 2 and the matrices listed in Table 3.

Pharmachem Labs may provide materials for evaluation.

9. Maximum Time-To-Result: None

103
104

Table 1: Method performance requirements.

Parameter	Ingredients (Raw Materials)	Finished Products - Solid	Finished Products – Liquid (Freeze dried samples)	
LOQ (%)	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.15	
Analytical Range (%)	1 – 100	1 – 100	0.15 – 0.5	≥ 0.5 - 100
Recovery (%)	90 - 110	90 - 110	≤ 50	90 - 110
% RSD _r	≤ 10	≤ 10	≤ 20	≤ 10
% RSD _R	≤ 15	≤ 15	≤ 30	≤ 15

105

106

107

Table 2: Potential Adulterants

108

109 Maltodextrin

110 Carragennan

111 Gum acacia

112 Locust gum

113

Table 3 : List of Matrices

115

116 Tablets

117 Capsules

118 Liquids

119 Powders

120 Extracts

121 Plant products

122

123

124 f:\spds\working groups\set 5\aloe vera\smpr\aloe smpr v4.docx